CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

ORDER NO.	

NPDES NO. CA0084298

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
LIVINGSTON STONE NATIONAL FISH HATCHERY
WINTER RUN REARING FACILITY
SHASTA COUNTY

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, (hereafter Regional Board) finds that:

- 1. The U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service (hereafter Discharger) submitted a Report of Waste Discharge dated 22 July 2002, and applied for a renewal of its permit to discharge under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) for the Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery, Winter Run Rearing Facility (hereafter Facility). The Facility is a salmon spawning/rearing operation that raises endangered winter-run Chinook salmon for release to the Sacramento River. The permit renewal application was deemed complete on 30 July 2002.
- 2. The discharge is presently regulated by Order No. 98-031, adopted by the Regional Board on 27 February 1998.
- 3. The property is located on Assessor's Parcel No. 065-510-01-11, ½ mile downstream of the Shasta Dam powerhouse in Section 15, T33N, R5W, MDB&M, latitude N 40° 43' 00" and longitude W 122° 25' 26", as shown on Attachment A, a part of this Order. Source water for the Facility is diverted from the Shasta Dam penstocks and wastewater is discharged to the Sacramento River, a water of the United States.
- 4. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the Regional Board have classified this discharge as a minor discharge.
- 5. In the Report of Waste Discharge (RWD), the Facility reported a total annual harvestable weight of Chinook salmon of 2,800 pounds and reported 1,003 pounds as the total weight of food fed during the month of maximum feeding (January). The Facility has been designated as a concentrated aquatic animal production (CAAP) facility and requires an NPDES permit to discharge to waters of the United States.
- 6. The Facility receives source water from the Shasta Dam penstocks. The water is aerated by packed towers and routed from a head tank to the hatchery building, wild brood stock tanks, and the rectangular and circular fish tanks. The Facility consists of two 20-ft diameter wild brood stock holding tanks, thirty 16-ft by 3-ft 3-in rearing tanks (raceways), twenty 12-ft

diameter brood stock tanks, and one hatchery building containing sixty fry circulars (30-inch diameter tanks for early rearing). Discharge flow is estimated based upon the number of units in service and an estimated flow rate for each unit. According to Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) data submitted by the facility from July 1998 through September 2003, the average total wastewater discharge was 1 million gallons per day (mgd) with a maximum of 2.45 mgd.

7. The Facility has four outfalls that discharge directly to the Sacramento River. Two outfalls are from the head tank (overflow and drain pipes). There are no effluent limitations required for discharges from these pipes because the Facility does not add constituents to the water, which would simply pass through from the Shasta Dam penstocks. Wastewater discharged from Outfall 001 and Outfall 002 is managed as follows:

Outfall 001: Wastewater from the hatchery building and the two wild brood stock tanks is discharged via Outfall 001 to the Sacramento River. When malachite green is used as a fungicide treatment for the adult salmon in the wild brood stock tanks, the affected wastewaters are routed through two 2,000 lb granular activated carbon filters (GAC filters) operated in series. In addition, the Discharger reports that it routes water containing formalin (used to treat eggs for fungus infections) through the GAC filters prior to discharge. GAC filter effluent is then routed to Outfall 001. Reported flow values from July 1998 through September 2003 range from no flow to 0.84 mgd.

Outfall 002: Wastewater from the rectangular rearing and the circular brood stock tanks is discharged via Outfall 002 to the Sacramento River. Since March 2000, samples for Outfall 002 were collected from two locations because of safety considerations, and have been designated as Outfall 002A and Outfall 002B on self monitoring reports. Sample point 002A is representative of the flow from the rectangular rearing tanks, and sample point 002B is representative of flow from the circular tanks. Flows from 002A and 002B are combined in a large corrugated pipe prior to discharge (Outfall 002). Reported flow values from July 1998 through September 2003 range between no flow and 2.45 mgd discharged via Outfall 002 (total of 002A and 002B). The discharge from two of the circular brood stock tanks was modified in December 2000 to direct the flow through a series of ultraviolet (UV) sterilizers. Water passing through the UV system is returned back to the existing discharge pipe and released at discharge point 002B. The UV system is only used when these two tanks hold endangered adult winter Chinook salmon transferred from the Bodega Marine Lab or Steinhart Aquarium, due to concerns about a fish disease called Rosette Agent. A Facility flow diagram is shown on Attachment B, a part of this Order.

8. In 2003, the Discharger backwashed the GAC filters and discharged the resulting wastewater at a rate of 150 gallons per minute for a period of 15 to 20 minutes. This Order requires the Discharger to manage GAC filter backwash wastewater by disposing of this wastewater offsite or containing and sampling the wastewater prior to discharge.

- 9. Domestic wastewater from the Facility is discharged to a septic tank/leachfield system.
- 10. Wastes generated at the Facility include fish fecal material, unconsumed fish food, nutrients, algae, silt, chemicals and therapeutic agents used to treat fish and control disease. Based on monthly monitoring reports for Outfall 001, Outfall 002A, and Outfall 002B from March 2000 to September 2003, effluent quality may be characterized as follows:

		Outfall 00	<u>1</u>	0	utfall 002	\overline{A}	0	utfall 002	<u>B</u>
Constituent	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	13.2	9.2	11.7	13.5	9.7	11.8	14.2	9.7	11.8
Turbidity (NTU)	3.25	1	1.98	6	1.2	2.79	5.95	1.25	2.86
Temperature (°C)	14.8	9.2	11.6	14.9	9.1	11.5	14.9	9.1	11.5
рН	9.34	6.37		9.16	6.45		9.1	6.55	
Settleable solids (mL/L)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total suspended solids (TSS) ¹ (mg/L)	0.9	0.4	0.7	1.1	0.7	0.92	1.2	0.3	0.8

¹ TSS concentrations are net values (effluent concentration minus intake concentration)

- 11. Chemicals are used at the Facility to treat fish directly for parasites, fungi, and bacteria or to anaesthetize fish, and may be used to clean rearing raceways in order to reduce the spread of disease among the confined fish population. Chemicals currently used at the Facility include formalin (as a 37% formaldehyde, methanol-free solution) sodium chloride (salt), malachite green, povidone-iodine (Argentyne), chloramine-T, tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222), carbon dioxide, Pond PolyAqua, vibrio vaccine, erythromycin (injected), and Luteinizing Hormone-Releasing Hormone analogue (LH-RH_a).
- 12. The following are used in the cryopreservation of sperm and as sperm activators. They are not discharged to surface waters, though trace amounts may remain on the eggs when they are placed in the incubator stacks, which flow to Outfall 001: glucose, dimethyl sulfoxide, chicken egg yolk, sodium chloride, trizma base buffer, glycine, and theophylline.
- 13. Chemicals not currently used by may be used in the future include oxytetracycline (Terramycin 100D and Liquamycin LA-200), SLICE (Emamectin benzoate), and Ivermectin.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS, POLICIES, AND PLANS

14. A cold-water concentrated aquatic animal production (CAAP) facility is defined in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 122.24) as a fish hatchery, fish farm, or other

> facility which contains, grows, or holds cold-water fish species or other cold-water aquatic animals including, but not limited to, the Salmonidae family of fish (e.g. trout and salmon) in ponds, raceways, or other similar structures. In addition, the facility must discharge at least 30 calendar days per year, produce at least 20,000 pounds harvest weight (9,090 kilograms) of aquatic animals per year, and feed at least 5,000 pounds (2,272 kilograms) of food during the calendar month of maximum feeding. A facility that does not meet the above criteria may also be designated a cold water CAAP facility upon a determination that the facility is a significant contributor of pollution to waters of the United States [40 CFR 122.24(c)]. Cold-water, flow-through CAAP facilities are designed to allow the continuous flow of fresh water through tanks and raceways used to produce aquatic animals (typically cold-water fish species). Flows from CAAP facilities ultimately are discharged to waters of the United States and of the State. 40 CFR 122.24 specifies that CAAP facilities are point sources subject to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. This facility does not meet the 20,000 pounds harvest weight or 5,000 pounds of food criteria, however, the Regional Board has designated the Facility as a cold-water, flow-through CAAP facility requiring an NPDES permit because of the chemical additives that are part of the waste stream.

- 15. The operation of CAAP facilities may introduce a variety of pollutants into receiving waters. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) identifies three classes of pollutants: (1) conventional pollutants (i.e., total suspended solids (TSS), oil and grease (O&G), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), fecal coliforms, and pH); (2) toxic pollutants (e.g., metals such as copper, lead, nickel, and zinc and other toxic pollutants; and (3) non-conventional pollutants (e.g., ammonia-N, formalin, and phosphorus). Some of the most significant pollutants discharged from CAAP facilities are solids from uneaten feed and fish feces that settle to the bottom of the raceways. Both of these types of solids are primarily composed of organic matter including BOD, organic nitrogen, and organic phosphorus.
- 16. Fish raised in CAAP facilities may become vulnerable to disease and parasite infestations. Various aquaculture drugs and chemicals are used periodically at CAAP facilities to ensure the health and productivity of the confined fish population, as well as to maintain production efficiency. Aquaculture drugs and chemicals are used to clean raceways and to treat fish for parasites, fungal growths and bacterial infections. Aquaculture drugs and chemicals are sometimes used to anesthetize fish prior to spawning or "tagging" processes. As a result of these operations and practices, drugs and chemicals may be present in discharges to waters of the United States or waters of the State.
- 17. In August 2004, USEPA promulgated Effluent Limitation Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Concentrated Aquatic Animal Production Point Source Category (hereafter "ELG"). The ELG regulation establishes national technology-based effluent discharge requirements for flow-through and recirculating systems and for net pens based on Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available (BPT); Best Control

Technology for Conventional Pollutants (BCT); Best Available Technology Economically Achievable (BAT); and New Source Performance Standards (NSPS). The requirements, however, are only applicable to facilities that produce 100,000 pounds or more per year of aquatic animals. For facilities that do not meet this production threshold, such as the Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery, technology-based effluent limitations are determined on a case-by-case basis using best professional judgment (BPJ) in accordance with 40 CFR 125.3.

- 18. The Regional Board adopted a *Water Quality Control Plan*, *Fourth Edition*, *for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins* (hereafter Basin Plan). The Basin Plan designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and describes an implementation program and policies to achieve water quality objectives for all waters of the Basin. This includes plans and policies adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and incorporated by reference, such as Resolution No. 68-16, "Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California" (Resolution No. 68-16). These requirements implement the Basin Plan. The Basin Plans, as amended, designate beneficial uses, establish water quality objectives, and contain implementation plans and policies for waters of the Basins. Pursuant to the California Water Code §13263(a), waste discharge requirements must implement the Basin Plans.
- 19. USEPA adopted the *National Toxics Rule* (NTR) on 22 December 1992, which was amended on 4 May 1995 and 9 November 1999, and the *California Toxics Rule* (CTR) on 18 May 2000, which was amended on 13 February 2001. These Rules contain water quality standards applicable to this discharge. The SWRCB adopted the *Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California* (known as the State Implementation Policy or SIP) on 2 March 2000, which contains policies and procedures for implementation of the NTR and the CTR.
- 20. The Sacramento River from Keswick Dam to Cottonwood Creek (approximately 15 miles) has been identified as a Water Quality Limited Segment under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The pollutants or stressors for which the Sacramento River is impaired appear on a list (the "California 303(d) List"), which was updated in 2002 and approved by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) in February 2003 and by USEPA in July 2003. The stressor identified on the California 303(d) List as impairing the Sacramento River from Keswick Dam to Cottonwood Creek is "unknown toxicity." The Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery discharge occurs upstream of this impaired region of the Sacramento River. This Order includes effluent limitations that control the use of aquaculture drugs or chemicals that may contribute to toxic conditions in the Sacramento River.
- 21. Resolution No. 68-16 requires the Regional Board, in regulating discharges of waste, to maintain high quality waters of the State until it is demonstrated that any change in water quality will be consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State, will not

unreasonably affect beneficial uses, and will not result in water quality less than that described in the Regional Board's policies (e.g., water quality constituents in concentrations that exceed water quality objectives). Resolution No. 68-16 requires that discharges be regulated to meet best practicable treatment or control in order to assure that pollution or nuisance will not occur; and the highest water quality be consistently maintained for the maximum benefit to the people of the State. The Board has considered Resolution No. 68-16 and Federal antidegradation regulations at 40 CFR 131.12 and compliance with these requirements will result in the use of best practicable treatment or control of the discharge. The impact on existing water quality will be insignificant.

BENEFICIAL USES

- 22. The existing beneficial uses of the Sacramento River, from Shasta Dam to Colusa Basin Drain as identified in Table II-1 of the Basin Plan include: municipal and domestic supply (MUN); agricultural supply (AGR) including both irrigation and stock watering; industrial service supply (IND); hydropower generation (POW); body contact recreation, canoeing and rafting, (REC-1); and other non-body contact recreation (REC-2); warm freshwater aquatic habitat (WARM); cold freshwater aquatic habitat (COLD); migration of aquatic organisms (MIGR) both warm and cold habitats, warm and cold habitat spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); wildlife habitat (WILD); and navigation (NAV). The Basin Plan on page II-1.00 states: "Protection and enhancement of existing and potential beneficial uses are primary goals of water quality planning..." and with respect to disposal of wastewaters states that "... disposal of wastewaters is [not] a prohibited use of waters of the State; it is merely a use which cannot be satisfied to the detriment of beneficial uses."
- 23. Beneficial uses of the underlying groundwater are municipal and domestic supply (MUN), industrial service supply (IND), industrial process supply (PRO) and agricultural supply irrigation (AGR).

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND OTHER SPECIFICATIONS

24. Federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 require NPDES permits to contain effluent limitations, including technology-based and water quality-based limitations for specific constituents and limitations based on toxicity.

TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

25. The Facility creates wastes, including solids from algae, silt, fish feces, and uneaten feed. As noted above, USEPA's final ELG for the aquaculture industry does not include numeric effluent limitations on any conventional, non-conventional, or toxic constituents. Rather, USEPA promulgated qualitative limitations in the form of BMP requirements. The Regional Board is establishing effluent limitations for discharges of total suspended solids (TSS) and settleable solids from this Facility. Technology-based requirements in this Order

are based on a case-by-case numeric limitations developed using best professional judgment (BPJ) and carried over from the previous Order No. 98-031. These effluent limitations are 5.0 mg/L net TSS as an average monthly limitation and 15 mg/L net TSS as a maximum daily limitation; and 0.1 ml/L settleable solids as an average monthly limitation and 0.2 mL/L settleable solids as a maximum daily limitation. Removal of these numeric limitations for TSS and settleable solids would constitute backsliding under CWA Section 402(o). The Regional Board has determined that these numeric effluent limitations for TSS and settleable solids continue to be applicable to the Facility and that backsliding is not appropriate. These limitations are established as a means of controlling the discharge of solids from algae, silt, fish feces and uneaten food. This Order does not include mass effluent limitations for TSS because there are no standards that specifically require a mass-based effluent limitation, mass of the pollutant discharged is not specifically related to a measure of operation (40 CFR 122.45(f)(iii)), and, in addition, mass-based effluent limitations for TSS are not necessary because this Order includes both concentration-based limitations and a maximum flow limitation.

WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

- 26. Federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1) require effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above a numeric water quality criterion (such as a CTR criterion) or a narrative water quality criterion within a State water quality standard. These regulations also set forth a methodology for establishing effluent limitations based on narrative state water quality criteria [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(vi)(A-C)].
- 27. The USEPA, SWRCB, and Regional Board have adopted or published standards that are used to implement 40 CFR 122.44. USEPA has promulgated the CTR and NTR that established water quality criteria. The SWRCB has adopted the SIP that implements the CTR and NTR. USEPA also has published recommended ambient water quality criteria and the Basin Plan contains numeric and narrative water quality objectives. The Basin Plan contains an Implementation Policy ("Policy for Application of Water Quality Objectives") that, in part, sets forth a process for translating narrative water quality objectives into numeric effluent limitations. USEPA ambient water quality criteria, results of toxicity studies conducted by DFG, and the Basin Plan "Policy of Application of Water Quality Objectives" are used to implement 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v).
- 28. Results of the study for discharges from Outfalls 001 and 002 and the receiving water, routine effluent monitoring conducted by the Discharger, and information from the Discharger regarding use of aquaculture drugs and chemicals indicate the discharge has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above a narrative or numeric water quality standard for pH, formaldehyde, and malachite green. As required by 40 CFR Part 122.44 (d)(1)(i)-(iii), this Order includes effluent limitations for pH, formaldehyde, and malachite green. The Regional Board is not obligated to delegate the

assimilative capacity of receiving waters to a Discharger. Therefore, the Regional Board establishes water quality-based effluent limitations without benefit of dilution in this Order. Water quality-based effluent limitations are based on the application of water quality criteria or objectives at the point of discharge.

CTR Effluent Limitations

29. On 11 December 2000 the Regional Board issued a letter pursuant to Section 13267 of the California Water Code (CWC) requiring all NPDES Dischargers to conduct effluent and receiving water monitoring and submit results of this monitoring in accordance with a time schedule provided in the letter. The Discharger conducted a study to determine whether levels of NTR, CTR, or other pollutants in the discharge have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above a numeric or narrative water quality standard, including Basin Plan numeric or narrative objectives and CTR/NTR criteria. Results of this study were submitted to the Regional Board for samples taken from Outfall 001, Outfall 002, and the receiving water on 17 May 2001. Additional sampling for dioxins was conducted on 17 January 2002. None of the priority pollutants were detected at concentrations that would cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above a water quality objective. The effluent sample collected was representative of typical operating conditions. Copper is not used at the Facility and will not be used in the future. Based on CTR results and Facility operations, the Regional Board finds that the discharge does not have a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the CTR objectives for priority pollutants. Effluent limitations for priority pollutants have not been included in this Order.

Non-CTR Constituents

- 30. The Basin Plan contains water quality objectives for pH in the form of a range of acceptable pH values 6.5 and 8.5 (measured in standard units). In the previous Order, the Regional Board established effluent limitations in the form of an acceptable range of pH between 6.5 and 8.5 standard units for discharges to the Sacramento River. Since the monitoring data indicates the source water from Shasta Lake may occasionally be less than 6.5 or greater than 8.5, this Order includes an acceptable range of pH in the discharge between 6.0 and 9.0 standard units.
- 31. Numeric water quality criteria or Basin Plan numeric objectives currently are not available for the aquaculture drugs and chemicals used or proposed for use at this Facility. Therefore, the Regional Board used the narrative water quality objectives for toxicity and chemical constituents from the Basin Plan and applied the "Policy for Application of Water Quality Objectives" as a basis for determining "reasonable potential" for discharges of these drugs and chemicals. The chemical constituents objective states, in part: "Waters shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses." The toxicity objective states, in part: "All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in

> concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life." The Basin Plan states that compliance with this objective will be determined by several factors, including biotoxicity tests of appropriate duration, or other analytical methods as specified by the Regional Board. (Biotoxicity testing involves measuring the toxic effects of an effluent on specified organisms according to nationally approved protocols). USEPA's Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control or TSD (March 1991) specifies two toxicity measurement techniques that can be employed in effluent characterization; the first is Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing, and the second is chemical-specific toxicity analyses. WET testing is used most appropriately when the toxic constituents in an effluent are not completely known; whereas chemical-specific analysis is more appropriately used when an effluent contains only one, or very few known constituents. Due to the nature of operations and chemical treatments at most CAAP facilities, CAAP facility effluents generally contain only one or two known chemicals at any given a time. Therefore, the Regional Board is using a chemical-specific approach to determine "reasonable potential" for discharges of aquaculture drugs and chemicals from CAAP facilities. The California Department of Fish and Game Pesticide Investigation Unit (DFG Pesticide Unit) has initiated biotoxicity studies to determine the aquatic toxicity of certain aquaculture drugs and chemicals commonly used at their CAAP facilities in the Region. The results of these studies are, in part, used to determine reasonable potential for aquaculture drugs and chemicals for this Facility.

- 32. The Discharger reports that sodium chloride (salt) is used at the Facility at a rate of up to 150 lbs per 30 minute static bath in the in the large brood stock tanks and 15 pounds inside the hatchery building. Sodium chloride is used as a stress reducer, osmoregulatory enhancer, and as a treatment for fish lice. The Discharger reports that the treatment level for fish lice is set at 3% (30,000 mg/L) for 30 minutes in a static bath. Based on flow estimates provided in the USEPA "Detailed Questionnaire for the Aquatic Animal Production Industry" from April 2002, the average flow through the brood stock tanks at 50 gallons per minute (72,000 gpd) per tank. Assuming a maximum flow from Outfall 002 of 2.45 mgd, the estimated concentration of sodium chloride from Outfall 002 would be approximately 880 mg/L sodium chloride following the 30 minute treatment of one tank. FDA considers sodium chloride an unapproved new animal drug of low regulatory priority (LRP drug) for use in aquaculture. Consequently, FDA is unlikely to take regulatory action if an appropriate grade is used, good management practices are followed, and local environmental requirements are met. The Regional Board has determined that the discharge of chloride from the Facility from sodium chloride application rates as described by the Discharger will not cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion of applicable water quality criteria or objectives. Monitoring of conductivity and chloride is required and monthly use of sodium chloride must be reported as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program.
- 33. A 37 percent formaldehyde solution (formalin) is used at hatcheries as a fungicide treatment on fish eggs and on fish in the raceways. Formalin (also known by the trade names

> Formalin-F[®], Paracide-F[®], PARASITE-S[®]) is approved through FDA's New Animal Drug Application (NADA) program for use in controlling external protozoa and monogenetic trematodes on fish, and for controlling fungi of the family Saprolegniacae in food-producing aquatic species (including trout and salmon). For control of other fungi, formalin may be used under an Investigational New Animal Drug (INAD) exemption. Formalin typically is used as a "drip" treatment to control fungus on fish eggs at a concentration of 1,000 to 2,000 mg/L for 15 minutes, or as a "flush" treatment in raceways of at a concentration of 170 to 250 mg/L for 1-hour. The Discharger uses formalin from May through September to treat eggs for fungus infections at a rate of 1,400 mg/L for 15 minutes. The treatment is conducted two times per week, but may be used up to five times per week if needed. Water from the incubator stack where formalin is used is captured in a trough, pumped through the GAC filters, and discharged via Outfall 001. During normal operations, water from the incubator trough is discharged through Outfall 001 at a rate of 6 to 60 gallons per minute. The estimated minimum flow through Outfall 001 during this period is 200 gallons per minute. The average flow is 300 gallons per minute and the maximum is 500 gallons per minute. At the typical usage rate of 1,400 mg/L formalin and the maximum flow of 60 gallons per minute through the incubator trough, and the maximum flow of 500 gallons per minute from Outfall 001, the estimated maximum calculated concentration of in the discharge from Outfall 001, assuming no removal of formaldehyde, would be 168 mg/L formalin (62 mg/L as formaldehyde). As noted, however, the Discharger captures flow from the incubator trays and pumps it through the GAC filters. Monitoring results show that formaldehyde concentrations at Outfall 001 routinely are less than the reportable limit of 20 μg/L, though a sample from 22 June 1999 shows a concentration of 1.2 mg/L.

> The Basin Plan contains a narrative water quality objective for toxicity that states in part that "[a]ll waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life" (narrative toxicity objective). Aquatic habitat is a beneficial use of the Sacramento River. The DFG Pesticide Unit conducted biotoxicity studies to determine the aquatic toxicity of formaldehyde using Pimephales promelas, and Ceriodaphnia dubia (C. dubia) in accordance with the analytical methods specified in EPA600/4-91-002, Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms. These "short-term chronic tests" measure effects such as reduced growth of the organism, reduced reproduction rates, or lethality. Results were reported as a No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) and a Lowest Observed Effect Concentration (LOEC). The DFG Pesticide Unit also conducted acute toxicity tests using C. dubia in accordance with methods specified in EPA600/4-90/027, Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms. Acute toxicity test results typically are reported as the No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL), Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL), and Lethal Concentration at 50 percent mortality (LC₅₀). The Regional Board considered the results of both acute and chronic aquatic life toxicity testing conducted by the DFG Pesticide Unit when determining whether water quality-based effluent limits for formalin as formaldehyde were necessary.

Results of chronic toxicity tests indicated *C. dubia* was the most sensitive species, with a 7-day NOEC value of 1.3 mg/L formaldehyde for survival and less than 1.3 mg/L for reproduction. Acute toxicity tests conducted using *C. dubia* showed a 96-hour NOAEL of 1.3 mg/L formaldehyde. Additional acute toxicity tests with *C. dubia* were conducted using an 8-hour exposure resulting in a 96-hour NOAEL concentration of 6.7 mg/L formaldehyde. The USEPA Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) reference dose as a drinking water level is 1.4 mg/L and the USEPA Drinking Water Health Advisory level is 1.0 mg/L.

Based on the results of the toxicity tests and estimates of potential discharges of formaldehyde from the facility, formaldehyde may be discharged at levels that cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of the narrative water quality objective for toxicity from the Basin Plan. Accordingly, this Order includes water qualitybased effluent limitations for formaldehyde. Effluent concentrations of formaldehyde may persist because of potential application procedures (e.g., repeated drip treatments for eggs). The previous Order No. 98-031 for the Facility included a less stringent daily maximum limitation of 0.03 mg/L. There is no information in the previous Order or fact sheet to support the 0.03 mg/L limit and Regional Board staff have not found information supporting the limit. There is no USEPA or California MCL for formaldehyde. Based on the new information from DFG toxicity tests, the lack of an MCL to adequately interpret chemical objectives from the Basin Plan, and to maintain consistency with permit requirements for similar CAAP facilities in the Region, the Regional Board has determined that it is appropriate to revise the formaldehyde limitations in this Order. As shown in the Information Sheet, both an average monthly effluent limitation of 0.65 mg/L and a maximum daily effluent limitation of 1.3 mg/L were calculated based on the 96-hour NOAEL value and using the procedure in USEPA's TSD for calculating water quality-based effluent limitations. These effluent limitations are included in this Order and have been established for protection of aquatic life against toxic effects from exposure to formaldehyde in the discharge. This change in effluent limitations is consistent with the Federal antibacksliding provisions of 40 CFR 122.44(1)(1) and 122.62(a)(2).

34. The Discharger uses malachite green under an INAD exemption for the "Use of Unapproved Drugs on Threatened or Endangered Fish Species." Fish in the wild brood tanks may be treated up to five times weekly in a one-hour static bath at 1.0 mg/L. The tanks are pumped through the GAC filters for four hours, then returned to normal operation. Water from the GAC filters is discharged via Outfall 001. The previous Order required that water to which malachite green was added be treated by GAC filters and that the effluent discharged *from the filters* not exceed a concentration of 10 μg/L malachite green (also the reporting limit). The concentration of malachite green in effluent discharged from the GAC filters has consistently been below the reporting limit of 10 μg/L. The Discharger reports that the GAC filters were regenerated in late 2003. The Regional Board is retaining the effluent limitation of 10 μg/L for malachite green and the requirement that water to which malachite green has been added be treated by GAC filters, but is applying the effluent limitation at Outfall 001

rather than after the GAC filters. This Order retains requirements for monitoring malachite green concentration in effluent from the GAC filters, but reduces monitoring frequency at this internal monitoring point.

- 35. Povidone-Iodine (Argentyne), a solution composed of 10% PVP Iodine Complex and 90% inert ingredients. FDA considers PVP Iodine an LRP drug for use in aquaculture. PVP Iodine is used at the Facility as a fish egg disinfectant (fungicide) at a treatment level of 100 mg/L for a 10 minute static bath (90 ml PVP Iodine per 2.4 gallons of water). The estimated flow through a single incubator stack is 6 gpm. The water from the incubator trough, which collects flows from the incubator stack, is discharged through Outfall 001 at a rate of 6-60 gpm. The total discharge through Outfall 001 is, at a minimum, 200 gpm. At a flow rate of 6 gpm of water with a concentration of 100 mg/L PVP Iodine Complex through the incubator stack and trough and the minimum flow rate of 200 gpm from Outfall 001, the estimated discharge of PVP Iodine Complex at Outfall 001 is 3 mg/L. Results of a single acute toxicity test with C. dubia conducted by DFG showed a 96-hour NOAEL of 0.86 mg/L. The estimated discharge concentration of PVP Iodine Complex exceeds the 96-hour NOAEL value. However, since there is limited toxicity information available at this time on short term exposures and no information regarding actual discharge concentrations of PVP Iodine, this Order does not include water quality-based effluent limitations for PVP Iodine. Use and monitoring of PVP Iodine must be reported as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. Additional short term toxicity tests using PVP Iodine will be required as specified in Provision No. 7. The Regional Board will review this information, and other information as it becomes available and this Order may be reopened to establish effluent limitations based on additional use and toxicity information.
- 36. Chloramine-T is used in accordance with an INAD exemption for "Use of Unapproved Drugs on Threatened and Endangered Species." Chloramine-T breaks down into para-toluenesulfonamide (p-TSA) and, unlike other chlorine-based disinfectants, does not form harmful chlorinated compounds. It is used only sporadically at the Facility to treat Bacterial Gill Disease in juvenile fish at a treatment level of 15 mg/L for a 30 minute static bath in the fry circular tanks. Assuming six of the 60 fry circular tanks are treated at one time, the discharge from the fry circular tanks to Outfall 001 would have an estimated concentration of Chloramine-T of 1.5 mg/L. This concentration would be further diluted from additional flow to Outfall 001. Assuming that two of the 30 rectangular fry tanks are treated at one time, estimated concentration of Chloramine-T in the discharge from the rectangular fry tanks to Outfall 002 would be 1.0 mg/L. This concentration would be diluted by additional flow to Outfall 002. Results of toxicity testing from other sources show a 96-hour LC₅₀ for rainbow trout of 2.8 mg/L. The 48-hour NOEC for *Daphnia magna* was reported as 1.8 mg/L. The DFG Pesticide Unit is proposing to conduct additional toxicity testing on Chloramine-T to determine NOAEL concentrations. Since there is limited toxicity information available and no information regarding actual discharge concentrations of Chloramine-T, this Order does not include water quality-based effluent limitations for

Chloramine-T. However, use and monitoring of Chloramine-T must be reported as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. The Regional Board will review this information, and other information as it becomes available and this Order may be reopened to establish effluent limitations based on additional use and toxicity information.

- The Discharger uses the anesthetic Tricaine methanesulfonate, commonly known as MS-222 37. (with trade names of Finquel® or Tricaine-S®). MS-222 has been approved by FDA for use as an anesthetic for Salmonidae. It is intended for the temporary immobilization of fish, amphibians and other aquatic, cold-blooded animals. It has been recognized as a valuable tool for the proper handling of these animals during manual spawning (fish stripping), weighing, measuring, marking, surgical operations, transport, photography, and research. The Regional Board does not have specific toxicity information for MS222 or estimates of potential discharge concentrations of MS-222 at this Facility. Since there is limited toxicity information available at this time and no information regarding actual discharge concentrations of MS-222 this Order does not include water quality-based effluent limitations for MS-222. However, use and monitoring of MS-222 must be reported as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. The Regional Board will review this information, and other information as it becomes available and this Order may be reopened to establish effluent limitations based on additional use and toxicity information.
- 38. Carbon dioxide gas is used to anesthetize fish. FDA considers carbon dioxide an LRP drug for use in aquaculture. Based upon available information regarding the use of these substances at this Facility and other CAAP facilities in the Region, the Regional Board does not believe that carbon dioxide gas will be discharged at levels that cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of Basin Plan narrative water quality objectives for toxicity. Accordingly, this Order does not include water quality-based effluent limitations for carbon dioxide however; use of this substance must be reported as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. In the future, as additional information becomes available regarding the use of carbon dioxide gas, the Regional Board will re-evaluate whether the discharge of this substance to receiving waters may cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of Basin Plan objectives and, if necessary, re-open this Order to include numeric effluent limitations.
- 39. The discharger uses Pond PolyAqua (slime) a professional water conditioner, in the anesthesia bath and to fish distribution tanks to reduce stress and aid in reducing handling damage. It is used at a concentration of 130 mg/L for an indefinite amount of time and may be discharged via Outfall 002. PolyAqua is not known to be toxic or to reach toxic levels with regular use or overdosing. Based on available information, the Regional Board believes that PolyAqua, when used according to label instructions, will not be discharged at levels that cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of Basin Plan narrative water quality objectives for toxicity. Accordingly, this Order does not

include water quality-based effluent limitations for this substance; however, use of PolyAqua must be reported as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. In the future, as additional information becomes available regarding the use or toxicity of this substance, the Regional Board will re-evaluate whether its discharge to receiving waters may cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of the Basin Plan objectives for toxicity and, if necessary, re-open this Order to include numeric effluent limitations.

- 40. The Discharger uses a vibrio vaccine as an immersion for brood stock destined for saltwater rearing at Bodega Bay Marine Lab. The vaccine helps protect salmonid species from vibriosis disease caused by Vibrio anguillarum serotype I and Vibrio ordalii. Vibrio vaccine stimulates the fish's immune system to produce protective antibodies, helping the animal defend itself against vibriosis. This veterinary biologic is licensed for use by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA's) Center for Veterinary Biologics. Veterinarians should be consulted before beginning an immunization program. According to USDA, most biologics leave no chemical residues in animals and most disease organisms do not develop resistance to the immune response by a veterinary biologic. Currently, the Facility is not discharging vibrio vaccine to surface waters. However, based upon available information regarding the use of these substances at CAAP facilities, the Regional Board believes that vibrio vaccine, when used according to label and veterinarian instructions, would not be discharged at levels that cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of Basin Plan narrative water quality objectives for toxicity. Accordingly, this Order does not include water quality-based effluent limitations for this substance; however, use of vibrio vaccine must be reported as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. In the future, as additional information becomes available regarding the use or toxicity of vibrio vaccine, the Regional Board will re-evaluate whether its discharge to receiving waters may cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of the Basin Plan objective for toxicity and, if necessary, re-open this Order to include numeric effluent limitations.
- 41. Terramycin 100D (Oxytetracyline), is an antibiotic approved through FDA's NADA program for use in controlling ulcer disease, furunculosis, bacterial hemorrhagic septicemia, and pseudomonas disease. It is used for a period of 10 days at the rate of 3.75 grams per 100 lbs of fish as a feed additive. However, oxytetracycline may also be used as an extralabel use under a veterinarian's prescription in an immersion bath of approximately six to eight hours in duration. The Regional Board has considered the results of acute and chronic aquatic life toxicity testing conducted by the DFG Pesticide Unit when determining whether water quality-based effluent limits for oxytetracycline were necessary in this Permit. Results of acute toxicity tests using *C. dubia* showed a 96-hour NOAEL of 40.4 mg/L. Results of chronic toxicity tests using *C. dubia* showed a 7-day NOEC for reproduction of 48 mg/L. The information available to the Regional Board regarding discharges of oxytetracycline indicates that it is discharged at levels well below the lowest NOEC and NOAEL. Therefore, at this time, the Regional Board determined that oxytetracycline, when

used in feed or in an immersion bath treatment, is not discharged at levels that cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of a narrative water quality objective for toxicity from the Basin Plans. Accordingly, this Permit does not include an effluent limitation for oxytetracycline. However, monthly use of oxytetracycline must be reported as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. The Regional Board will review this information, and other information as it becomes available, and this permit may be reopened to establish effluent limits based on additional use and toxicity information.

- 42. Erythromycin (Gallimycin-200) is a veterinarian-prescribed drug used at the Facility to control Bacterial Kidney Disease. The drug is injected into adult salmon at a target dosage of 20 mg/kg. Fish receive one to four injections with at least fourteen days between them. Liquamycin LA-200 (Oxytetracyline) is a veterinarian prescribed drug for the control of gram positive bacterial infections. It would be injected at the rate of 20-40 mg per kilogram of fish.
- 43. Luteinizing Hormone Releasing Hormone analogue (LH-RH_a) is used under an INAD exemption for "Use of Unapproved Drugs on Threatened or Endangered Fish Species." LHRH is administered to accelerate final gamete maturation in fish that have undergone gametogenesis. Implants are injected under the dorsal muscle tissue below the dorsal fin above the lateral line by use of a Ralgro Pellet Injector. The target dosage is 100 μg/kg.
- 44. SLICE (Emamectin benzoate) and Ivermectin are two chemicals that may be used starting in 2006 as part of a study to look at chemicals that are effective in the control of sea lice (copepods). The study will use nine large circular tanks with three tanks with three tanks using each chemical and three tanks a control. SLICE would be mixed in feed and fed at a rate of 50 ug per kilogram of fish for seven days. Invermectin (used for heartworm in dogs) would be veterinarian prescribed. The fish would be oral incubated (force down into stomach) at a rate of 0.2 mg active ingredient per kilogram of fish.
- 45. In the NPDES General Permit for Aquaculture Facilities in Idaho (Idaho General Permit), USEPA Region 10 distinguishes between antibiotics applied in feed formulations and antibiotics applied in immersion baths. The Idaho General Permit concludes that drugs or chemicals administered via feed, and ingested by fish, pose little threat to aquatic life or beneficial uses because a majority of the drug is utilized by the fish, though some literature suggests otherwise. As stated in the Idaho General Permit, "USEPA believes that disease control drugs and other chemicals provided for ingestion by fish do not pose a risk of harm or degradation to aquatic life or other beneficial uses." Based on similar conclusions as those drawn by USEPA for the Idaho General Permit, the Regional Board has determined that injected drugs such as erythromycin, LH-RH_a, and Liquamycin LA-200 are used in a manner that reduces the likelihood of direct discharge to waters of the United States or waters of the State. Only trace amounts of these drugs may leak out through injection points. Accordingly, this Order does not include water quality-based effluent limitations for

these substances; however, it does require reporting use as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. In the future, as additional information becomes available regarding the use, toxicity, and potential for discharge of these drugs from the Facility, the Regional Board will re-evaluate whether the potential discharge of any of these drugs to receiving waters may cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of the Basin Plan objectives for toxicity and, if necessary, re-open this Order to include numeric effluent limitations.

46. The Facility uses glucose, dimethyl sulfoxide, chicken egg yolk, sodium chloride, trizma base buffer, glycine, and theophylline in the cryopreservation of sperm and as sperm activators. They are not discharged to surface waters, though trace amounts may remain on the eggs when they are placed in the incubator stacks, which flow to Outfall 001. Based on available information regarding disposal of these substances and the lack of potential for discharge, the Regional Board does not believe that they will be discharged at levels that cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of Basin Plan narrative water quality objectives for toxicity. Accordingly, this Order does not include water quality-based effluent limitations for these drugs; however, their use must be reported as specified in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. In the future, as additional information becomes available regarding the use, toxicity, and potential for discharge of these drugs from the Facility, the Regional Board will re-evaluate whether the potential discharge of any of these drugs to receiving waters may cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of the Basin Plan objectives for toxicity and, if necessary, re-open this Order to include numeric effluent limitations.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- 47. California Water Code Section 13267 states, in part, "(a) A Regional Board, in establishing...waste discharge requirements... may investigate the quality of any waters of the state within its region" and "(b) (1) In conducting an investigation... the Regional Board may require that any person who... discharges... waste...that could affect the quality of waters within its region shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the Regional Board requires." California Water Code Section 13383 states in part, "a regional board may establish monitoring, inspection, entry, reporting, and record keeping requirements . . . for any person who discharges pollutants . . . to navigable waters." The attached Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2004-_____ is necessary to assure compliance with waste discharge requirements and is incorporated by reference herein. The attached Monitoring and Reporting Program is established pursuant to California Water Code Sections 13267 and 13383.
- 48. Effluent limitations, and toxic and pretreatment effluent standards established pursuant to Sections 301 (Effluent Limitations), 302 (Water Quality Related Effluent Limitations), 304 (Information and Guidelines), and 307 (Toxic and Pretreatment Effluent Standards) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and amendments thereto are applicable to the discharge.

- 49. Best Management Practices plan requirements are established based on 40 CFR 122.44(k)(4) to help ensure adequate control of solids and other pollutants present in the discharge.
- 50. The Regional Board has considered the information in the attached Information Sheet in developing the findings in this Order. The attached Information Sheet is part of this Order.
- 51. The action to adopt an NPDES permit is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Public Resources Code Section 21000, et seq., in accordance with Section 13389 of the California Water Code.
- 52. The Regional Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for this discharge and has provided them with an opportunity for a public hearing and an opportunity to submit their written views and recommendations.
- 53. The Regional Board, in a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge.
- 54. This Order shall serve as an NPDES permit pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, and amendments thereto, and shall take effect upon the date of hearing, provided USEPA has no objections.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Order No. 98-031 is rescinded and that the United States Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, their agents, successors, and assigns, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the California Water Code and regulations adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the Clean Water Act and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, shall comply with the following:

A. Discharge Prohibitions

- 1. Discharge of wastes in a manner other than as described in this Order, or at a location different from that described in the Findings is prohibited, and may be considered a violation of the Clean Water Act and the California Water Code.
- 2. The by-pass of wastewater containing malachite green around the Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) filters or the overflow of untreated wastewater containing malachite green into any surface water or surface water drainage course is prohibited, except as allowed by Standard Provision A.13.

- 3. Discharge of waste classified as "hazardous" as defined in §2521(a) of Title 23, California Code of Regulations (CCR), §2510, et seq., (hereafter Chapter 15), or "designated", as defined in §13173 of the California Water Code, is prohibited.
- 4. Practices that allow accumulated sludge, grit, and solid residues to be discharged to surface waters or surface water drainage courses are prohibited.

B. Effluent Limitations

- 1. The combined maximum daily discharge of flow through wastewater from Outfall 001 and Outfall 002 shall not exceed 7.2 mgd.
- 2. Effluent discharged from Outfall 001 or Outfall 002 shall not have a pH less than 6.0 nor greater than 9.0.
- 3. Effluent discharged from Outfall 001 and Outfall 002 shall not exceed the following limitations:

Constituent	<u>Units</u>	Average Monthly Effluent Limitation	Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation	Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation
Total Suspended Solids (TSS) ¹	mg/L (net)	5	15	
Settleable Solids	mL/L	0.1	0.2	
Formaldehyde	mg/L	0.65	1.3	
Malachite green	μg/L			10

¹ Effluent limitations for total suspended solids are net values (Net concentration or mass = Effluent concentration or mass – Influent concentration or mass)

C. Discharge Specifications

- 1. The discharge shall not cause the degradation of any water supply or ground water.
- 2. Neither the treatment nor the discharge shall cause a nuisance or conditions of pollution as defined by California Water Code §13050.
- 3. There shall be no direct discharge of domestic sewage to surface waters or surface water drainage courses.

4. Wastewater generated as a result of backwashing the granular activated carbon filters shall be disposed of off-site or shall be contained and sampled prior to discharge via Outfall 001 or Outfall 002.

D. Best Management Practices (BMP) Plan

Within 12 months of adoption of this Order, the Discharger shall certify in writing to the Regional Board that it has developed a Best Management Practices (BMP) plan. The Discharger shall develop and implement the BMP plan to prevent or minimize the generation and discharge of wastes and pollutants to the waters of the United States and waters of the State. The Discharger shall develop and implement a BMP plan consistent with the following objectives:

1. Solids Management

- a. Conduct fish feeding in raceways in a manner that limits feed input to the minimum amount reasonable necessary to achieve production goals and sustain targeted rates of aquatic animal growth and minimizes the discharge of unconsumed food and waste products to surface waters.
- b. Clean raceways using procedures and at frequencies that minimize the disturbance and subsequent discharge of accumulated solids during routine activities such as inventorying, grading, and harvesting.
- c. Report the final disposition of all other solids and liquids, including aquaculture drugs and chemicals, not discharged to surface waters in the effluent.
- d. Collect, store, and dispose of fish mortalities and other solids in an environmentally safe manner and in manner so as to minimize discharge to waters of the United States or waters of the State.

2. Operations and Maintenance

- a. Maintain in-system production and wastewater treatment technologies to prevent the overflow of any floating matter or bypassing of treatment technologies.
- b. Inspect the production system and the wastewater treatment system on a routine basis in order to identify and promptly repair any damage.
- c. Ensure storage and containment of drugs, chemicals, fuel, waste oil, or other materials to prevent spillage or release into the aquatic animal production Facility, waters of the United States, or waters of the State.

- d. Implement procedures for properly containing, cleaning, and disposing of any spilled material.
- e. Prevent fish from being released within the FDA-required withdrawal time of any drug or chemical with which they have been treated.

3. <u>Training</u>

- a. Adequately train all relevant facility personnel in spill prevention and how to respond in the event of a spill in order to ensure the proper clean-up and disposal of spilled material.
- b. Train staff on the proper operation and cleaning of production and wastewater treatment systems, including training in feeding procedures and proper use of equipment.

The Discharger shall ensure that its operations staff are familiar with the BMP Plan and have been adequately trained in the specific procedures it requires.

E. Waste Disposal

- 1. Collected screenings, sludges, and other solids, including fish carcasses, shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Executive Officer and consistent with *Consolidated Regulations for Treatment, Storage, Processing, or Disposal of Solid Waste*, as set forth in Title 27, CCR, Division 2, Subdivision 1, Section 20005, et seq.
- 2. All aquaculture drugs and chemicals not discharged to receiving waters in accordance with the provisions of this Order shall be disposed of in an environmentally safe manner, according to label guidelines, Material Safety Data Sheet guidelines and BMPs. Any other form of disposal requires approval from the Executive Officer.
- 3. Any proposed change in disposal practices, shall be reported to the Executive Officer at least **90 days** in advance of the change.

F. Receiving Water Limitations

Receiving water limitations are site-specific interpretations of water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan. As such, they are a required part of this Order. However, a receiving water condition not in conformance with the limitation is not necessarily a violation of this Order. The Regional Board may require an investigation to determine cause and culpability prior to asserting a violation has occurred. The discharge shall not cause the following in the Sacramento River:

- 1. Fecal coliform concentrations, based on a minimum of not less than five samples for any 30-day period, to exceed a geometric mean of 200/100 ml or more than ten percent of the total number of samples taken during any 30-day period to exceed 400/100 ml.
- 2. Biostimulatory substances to be present which promote aquatic growths that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 3. Discoloration that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.
- 4. Dissolved oxygen concentrations to fall below 7.0 mg/L, the monthly median of the mean daily dissolved oxygen concentration to fall below 85 percent of saturation in the main water mass or the 95th percentile concentration of dissolved oxygen to fall below 75 percent of saturation.
- 5. Floating material in amounts that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 6. Oils, greases, waxes, or other materials that result in a visible film or coating on the water surface or on objects in the water.
- 7. The normal ambient pH to fall below 6.5, exceed 8.5, or change by more than 0.5 units.
- 8. Pesticides to be present in concentrations in the receiving water, bottom sediments, or aquatic life in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses or in concentrations that exceed the lowest levels technically and economically achievable.
- 9. Radionuclides to be present in concentrations that exceed maximum contaminant levels specified in the California Code of Regulations, Title 22; that harm human, plant, animal or aquatic life; or that result in the accumulation of radionuclides in the food web to an extent that presents a hazard to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
- 10. Suspended sediment load and suspended sediment discharge rates to be altered in such a manner as to cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 11. Deposition of material that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.
- 12. Suspended material in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 13. Taste or odor-producing substances to impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, or to cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

- 14. An increase in the normal ambient temperature of waters by more than 5°F (3°C).
- 15. Toxic pollutants to be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses or that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
- 16. The turbidity of receiving waters to increase over background levels by more than:
 - a. 1 NTU when background turbidity is between 0 and 5 NTUs;
 - b. 20 percent when background turbidity is between 5 and 50 NTUs;
 - c. 10 NTUs when background turbidity is between 50 and 100 NTUs; and
 - d. 10 percent when background turbidity is greater than 100 NTUs.

In determining compliance with the above limitations, appropriate averaging periods may be applied upon approval by the Executive Officer.

- 17. Aquatic communities and populations, including vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant species, to be degraded.
- 18. Violation of any applicable water quality standard for receiving waters adopted by the Regional Board or the SWRCB pursuant to the CWA and regulations adopted thereunder.

G. Provisions

1. The Discharger shall comply with the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2004-_____, which is part of this Order, and any revisions thereto, as ordered by the Executive Officer. If sufficient information is collected and indicates that the discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an in-stream excursion above a narrative or numerical water quality standard, then this Order may be reopened to include effluent limit(s) to achieve water quality standards. Additionally, if pollutants are detected in discharges from the Discharger's facility, but insufficient information exists to establish an effluent limit or determine if an effluent limit is necessary, then the Discharger may be required to conduct additional monitoring to provide sufficient information.

When requested by USEPA, the Discharger shall complete and submit additional Discharge Monitoring Reports. The submittal date shall be no later than the submittal

date specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program for Discharge Self-Monitoring Reports.

- 2. The Discharger shall comply with all the items of the "Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements (NPDES)", dated February 2004, which are part of this Order. This attachment and its individual paragraphs are referred to as "Standard Provisions."
- 3. In accordance with the requirements in Section D. – Best Management Practices (BMP) Plan, of this Order, the Discharger shall develop and implement a BMP Plan which achieves the objectives and the specific requirements outlined in that section of the Order. Through implementation of a BMP Plan, the Discharger shall prevent or minimize the generation and discharge of wastes and pollutants from the Facility to the waters of the United States. In the BMP Plan, each component of the Facility shall be evaluated by the Discharger for its waste minimization opportunities and its potential for causing a release of significant amounts of pollutants to receiving waters due to the failure or improper operation of equipment. The examination shall include all normal operations, including raw material and product storage areas, feeding of fish, internal movement of fish, cleaning of rearing/holding units and settling systems, processing and product handling areas, loading or unloading operations, spillage or leaks from the processing floor and dock, and sludge and waste disposal. The BMP Plan shall contain an explicit quantification of the inputs and outputs of the Facility, including fish, feed, feed components, mortalities due to predation and disease, dissolved and solid pollutants, and water. The BMP Plan shall contain a description of specific management practices and standard operating procedures used to achieve the above objectives, including, for example, schedules for solids removal from each waste collection component including what procedures will be used to determine when cleaning is necessary to prevent accumulated solids from being discharged. The BMP Plan shall contain a statement that the BMP Plan has been reviewed and endorsed by the Facility Manager and the individuals responsible for implementation of the BMP operating plan. The Discharger shall ensure that its operations staff is familiar with the BMP Plan and have been adequately trained in the specific procedures which it requires. The Discharger shall maintain a copy of the BMP Plan at the Facility and shall make the plan available upon request to representatives of the Regional Board.
- 4. The Discharger shall comply with the standards contained in the Health and Safety Code, Chapter 6.67, Aboveground Storage of Petroleum.
- 5. **Within 60 days of adoption of this Order**, the Discharger shall submit a Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan in accordance with the Standard Provisions.

- 6. This Order authorizes the discharge of formalin (as a 37% formaldehyde, methanol-free solution) sodium chloride (salt), malachite green, Povidone iodine, chloramine-T, tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222), carbon dioxide, Pond PolyAqua, vibrio vaccine, erythromycin (injected), and Luteinizing Hormone Releasing Hormone analogue (LHRH), oxytetracycline, Liquamycin LA-200, SLICE, and Invermectin to the Sacramento River in accordance with the effluent limitations and other conditions described herein. This Order authorizes the discharge of glucose, dimethyl sulfoxide, chicken egg yolk, sodium chloride, trizma base buffer, glycine, and theophylline to the Sacramento River in residual amounts from treatment of eggs as described herein. The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Board in writing the following information prior to the use of any other chemical or aquaculture drug that may enter the wastewater discharge:
 - a. The common name(s) and active ingredient(s) of the drug or chemical proposed for use and discharge.
 - b. The purpose for the proposed use of the drug or chemical (i.e. list the specific disease for treatment and specific species for treatment).
 - c. The amount proposed for use and the resulting calculated estimate of concentration in the discharge.
 - d. The duration and frequency of the proposed use.
 - e. Material Safety Data Sheets and available toxicity information.
 - f. Any related Investigational New Animal Drug (INAD), New Animal Drug Application (NADA) information, extra-label use requirements and/or veterinarian prescriptions.

Prior to discharging the chemical or aquaculture drug, the Discharger also shall conduct and/or submit the results of, acute toxicity tests in accordance with methods specified in EPA600/4-90/027, Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, using *C. dubia*, to determine the NOAEL, and LOAEL. The Discharger shall submit the results of the toxicity testing to the Regional Board and the Regional Board will determine whether this Order must be reopened to include effluent limitations for the drug or chemical before the drug or chemical may be discharged.

If the toxicity testing, or above listed information submitted to the Regional Board indicates that the drug or chemical may potentially be discharged at a level that will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above any chemical-specific water quality criteria, chemical water quality objective from the Basin Plans, or narrative water quality objective for toxicity from the Basin Plans, this Order may be reopened to establish effluent limitations.

7. The Discharger shall conduct short term toxicity studies in accordance with methods specified in *EPA-821-R-02-012*, to determine the NOAEL, and LOAEL for PVP

Iodine to reflect concentrations and exposure times that are applicable to this Facility. If DFG conducts short term toxicity studies using PVP Iodine which reflect concentrations and exposure times that are applicable to this Facility, this information may be used to determine reasonable potential. The results shall be submitted to the Regional Board within 12 months of adoption of this Order. The Regional Board will review this information and this permit may be reopened to establish effluent limits for PVP Iodine based on additional toxicity testing and other available information.

- 8. The Discharger may conduct studies pertaining to Facility operations, the effluent discharge, and the receiving water. For example, such studies may include a mixing zone and dilution study. The Regional Board will review such studies and, if warranted, will reopen this Order to make appropriate changes.
- 9. **Adoption of new Minimum Level's (ML's)**: Where an approved laboratory analytical method and associated ML cannot, at this time, determine whether a CTR or NTR constituent is present in the discharge above the applicable criteria, the Discharger shall resample for these constituents if new ML's are adopted by the SWRCB.
- 10. The Discharger shall report promptly to the Regional Board any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.
- 11. A copy of this Order shall be kept at the discharge Facility for reference by operating personnel. Key operating personnel shall be familiar with its contents.
- 12. This Order expires on _____ and the Discharger must file a Report of Waste Discharge in accordance with Title 23, CCR, not later than **180 days** in advance of such date an application for renewal of waste discharge requirements if it wishes to continue the discharge.
- 13. In the event of any change in control or ownership of land or waste discharge facilities presently owned or controlled by the Discharger, the Discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to this office.

To assume operation under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the State of Incorporation if a corporation, the name, address, and the telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Regional Board, and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory paragraph of Standard Provision C.6 and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to

submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the California Water Code. Transfer shall be approved or disapproved in writing by the Executive Officer.

I, THOMAS R. PINKOS, Executive Officer, do l	nereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and
correct copy of an Order adopted by the California	a Regional Water Quality Control Regional Board,
Central Valley Region, on	
_	
	THOMAS R. PINKOS, Executive Officer